BILL SUMMARY

1st Session of the 57th Legislature

Bill No.: HB 1207
Version: CS
Request Number: 7996
Author: Rep. Conley
Date: 2/27/2019

Impact: CLEET: revenue loss of \$100,000 annually or 21% of the agency's total budget less those funds provided by state appropriation

Research Analysis

The committee substitute to HB 1207 provides that if an officer leaves the original employing agency which paid for CLEET training later than one year, but less than two years, after initial employment, the second agency or the person receiving the training shall reimburse the original employing agency 50% of the cost of CLEET training and salary paid to the person while completing the basic police course by the original employing agency. The measure deletes language relating to the use of a promissory note to recoup academy training expenses.

Prepared By: Brad Wolgamott

Fiscal Analysis

The CS on HB 1207, which removes language requiring the use of a promissory note to recoup expenses for CLEET, is determined to have a significant impact on the agency's operations. Deleting this language would reduce CLEET's revenue by \$100,000/year or 21% of their total operating budget outside of those covered by state appropriations.

See below for the agency's impact.

Prepared By: Kristina King

Other Considerations

The amendment to HB 1207 completely strikes all language in Title 70 Section 3311.11 concerning the CLEET Promissory Note for cadets attending the basic academy. The initial intent of this language was to keep certified peace officers in Oklahoma Law Enforcement. The number of officers staying in Oklahoma law enforcement for at least four years (the term of the note) has increased approximately 10% since the implementation of the promissory note.

Eliminating this portion of the statute not only takes away an incentive for newly trained officers to remain active in Oklahoma law enforcement, it will also cost CLEET in excess of \$100,000 per year. Promissory note payments to CLEET have averaged over \$106,000 annually over the past four years. In order to explain the significance of this loss to CLEET, I offer the following:

Category	FY-18 Expenditure	Percentage of Total	Source
		Budget	
Payroll and Related Costs	\$2,523,496	45.43%	Approp/Rev Fund
Bond Payment	\$1,477,035	26.59%	Rev Fund

Utilities	\$365,670	6.58%	Approp/Rev Fund
Cafeteria	\$423,324	7.62%	Rev Fund
Grant Expenditures	\$124,449	2.24%	Rev Fund
Building and Equip	\$139,028	2.50%	Approp/Rev Fund
Maint/Repair			
Remainder of	\$502,323	9.04%	Approp/Promissory
Expenditures	(\$106,000 from	(21% of this is from	Note Receipts
	Promissory Note)	Promissory Note)	
Total FY-18 Expenditures	\$5,555,294	100%	

As you can see, while \$106,000 is just under 2% of our budget as a whole, it represents over 21% of our operating expenses outside of the major categories of Payroll, Bond, Utilities, Cafeteria, Maintenance and Grants. In short, if we lose that funding and it is not replaced, it could have a dramatic impact on our operations.

© 2019 Oklahoma House of Representatives, see Copyright Notice at www.okhouse.gov